arXiv:1108.4017v1 [math.CA] 19 Aug 2011

AN IMPROVED RESTRICTION ESTIMATE FOR $\mathbf{R}^6$

FARUK TEMUR

Abstract. We improve the best known exponent for the restriction conjecture in $\mathbf{R}^6$. Our idea is applicable to any dimension n satisfying $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$, though we do not explicitly calculate the improvement for $n > 6$. This improves the recent results of Bourgain and Guth.

1. Introduction

In [6] Stein posed the following well-known conjecture. Let $S \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a smooth, compact hypersurface with positive definite second fundamental form and σ its surface measure. Then for $p > 2n/n-1$ and $f \in L^\infty(S,\sigma)$ we have

$$\|\widehat{fd\sigma}\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_\infty. \tag{1}$$

This conjecture is related to some other important problems in harmonic analysis and PDE such as the Kakeya conjecture, the Bochner-Riesz conjecture, local smoothing problem; see [5, 8]. For $n = 2$ it is known to be true; see [4]. For $n \geq 3$ it is open despite much effort. The first progress towards this case was Tomas-Stein theorem, which was proved in 1975 and gives $p > 2(n+1)/(n-1)$; see [12]. Then in 1991 Bourgain was able to go below this exponent; see [2]. Wolff improved Bourgain's result to $(2n^2+n+6)/(n^2+n-1)$, see [13]. Then in three dimensions Tao, Vargas, and Vega further lowered this exponent, and more importantly they developed the bilinear approach which related this conjecture to restriction estimates for compact, transverse subsets of hypersurfaces; see [9, 10]. The work of Tao in 2003, which was a bilinear estimate for compact transverse subsets of paraboloids, through this bilinear method, verified the conjecture for $p > 2(n+2)/n$; see [7]. This exponent is best one can obtain from that approach.

Date: August 5, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 42B10; Secondary: 42B15 .

Key words and phrases. restriction conjecture, multilinear restriction estimates.

The author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0900865. The author thanks to his advisor M.B. Erdoğan for the financial support.

In 2006, Bennett, Carbery and Tao posed a multilinear version of the restriction conjecture and resolved it. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_m \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be smooth compact hypersurfaces that are transverse, that is for any choice of points $\{x_i \in S_i\}$ we have $|x_1' \wedge \cdots \wedge x_m'| > c$ where x_i' is the unit normal at x_i and c some constant. Let σ_i be the surface measure of S_i. Then for $q > 2m/m-1$ and $p' \leq q(m-1)/m$ the work of Bennett, Carbery and Tao in [1] implies

$$\| \prod_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{f_i d\sigma_i} \|_{L^{q/m}(\mathbf{R}^n)} \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^{m} \|f_i\|_{L^p(S_i)}. \tag{2}$$

This result by itself does not imply any progress towards the restriction conjecture. But recently, Bourgain and Guth combining this with the idea of rescaling invented in [9] significantly improved the known exponents for $n > 4$; see [3]. The exponents given in [3] are for every $n > 2$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p &> \frac{8n+6}{4n-3} \quad \text{if} \quad n \equiv 0 \mod 3 \\ p &> \frac{2n+1}{n-1} \quad \text{if} \quad n \equiv 1 \mod 3 \\ p &> \frac{4n+4}{2n-1} \quad \text{if} \quad n \equiv 2 \mod 3 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For $n = 3, 4$ this does not give any improvement. But refining their analysis and combining with Wolff's Kakeya maximal function estimate in [13], Bourgain and Guth, for $n = 3$, improved the known exponent $p > 10/3$ to $p > 33/10$. The aim of this paper is to show that this refined method can be used for $n = 6$ too, and to calculate the improvement. We shall also make it clear how to use this strategy for any dimension $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$, though as the improvement is very small and process very technical we will not calculate the improvement for general n. We state the case in $n = 6$ as a theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $S \subset \boldsymbol{R}^6$ be a smooth, compact hypersurface with positive definite second fundamental form and σ its surface measure. Then for $p > 18/7 - 2/735$ and $f \in L^\infty(S, \sigma)$ we have*

$$\|\widehat{f d\sigma}\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_\infty. \tag{4}$$

Thus the improvement we have over previous best exponent is $2/735$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we describe the proof by Bourgain and Guth of (3), and point out what allows us when $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$ to improve this. In the next section we calculate explicitly the improvement for $n = 6$, and at the end of that section, it will be clear to the reader that the process can be repeated to obtain improvement for any n with $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$.

2. Bourgain-Guth argument

We start with several lemmas, proofs of which can be found in [3]. The first two lemmas rely on multilinear estimates of [1], while the third uses the idea of rescaling introduced in [9].

Let S be a compact, smooth hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with positive definite second fundamental form. Let for $x \in S$, $x' \in S^{n-1}$ denote the unit normal to the surface at the point x, and let $\Gamma : S^{n-1} \to S$ be the Gauss map. Hence $\Gamma(x') = x$. In what follows we will use the notation $\fint_E$ to denote the average over the set E. Now we are ready to state our first lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $U_i \subset S, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be small caps such that $|x'_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge x'_n| > c$ for all $x_i \in U_i$. Let $D_i \subset U_i$, $1 \geq i \leq n$ be discrete sets of $1/M$ for M large. Let a be a bounded function on S. Let $B_M \subset \boldsymbol{R}^n$*

$$\fint_{B_M} \prod_{i=1}^{n} |\sum_{\xi \in D_i} a(\xi) e^{ix\cdot\xi}|^{2/n-1} \ll M^{\epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{\xi \in D_i} |a(\xi)|^2)^{1/n-1}. \tag{5}$$

where $B_M \subset R^n$ is a ball of radius M.

Lemma 2. *Let $2 \leq m \leq n$ and V be subspace of $\boldsymbol{R}^n$ of dimension m. Let $P_1 \ldots P_m \in S$ be points that satisfy $P'_i \in V$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $|P'_1 \ldots P'_m| > c$. Let $U_1, \ldots, U_m \subset S$ be small neighborhoods of $P_1 \ldots P_m$. Let M be large and $D_i \subset U_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ be sets of $1/M$ separated points ξ that obeys the condition $dist(\xi', V) < c/M$. Then for $g_i \in L^\infty(U_i)$, we have*

$$\fint_{B_M} \prod_{i=1}^{m} |\sum_{\xi \in D_i} \int_{|\zeta-\xi|<\frac{c}{M}} g_i(\zeta) e^{ix\cdot\zeta} \sigma(d\zeta)|^{\frac{2}{m-1}} dx \ll$$

$$M^{\epsilon} (\fint_{B_M} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (\sum_{\xi \in D_i} |\int_{|\zeta-\xi|<\frac{c}{M}} g_i(\zeta) e^{ix\cdot\zeta} \sigma(d\zeta)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2m}} dx)^{\frac{2m}{m-1}}.$$

Lemma 3. *Let U_ρ be a cap of radius ρ on S. Then*

$$\| \int_{U_\rho} g(\xi) e^{ix\cdot\xi} \sigma(d\xi) \|_{L^p(B_R)} \leq \rho^{n-1-(n+1)/p} Q_{\rho R}^{(p)}$$

Now we are in a position to describe Bourgain-Guth argument. Let $g \in L^\infty(S), |g| \le 1$ and let $x \in B_R$. Let $R^\epsilon \gg K_n \gg K_{n-1} \gg \ldots \gg K_1$ to be chosen later. Decompose S into caps U_α^n of size $1/K_n$, thus

$$\int_S g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi) = \sum_\alpha \int_{U_\alpha^n} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi) = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha^n(x).$$

As in [3] to avoid technicalities we will assume $c_\alpha^n(x)$ to be constant on balls of radius K_n. For a fixed x we have two possibilities:

1.1 There exist $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ such that $|\xi_1' \wedge \ldots \wedge \xi_n'| > c(K_n)$ for $\xi_i \in U_{\alpha_i}$ and $|c_{\alpha_i}^n(x)| > K_n^{-n} \max_\alpha |c_\alpha^n(x)|$.

1.2 The negation of this, namely there exist a $(n-1)$-dimensional subspace V_{n-1} such that $|c_\alpha^n(x)| \le K_n^{-n} \max_\alpha |c_\alpha^n(x)|$ if $\operatorname{dist}(U_\alpha^n, \hat{V}_{n-1}) \gtrsim 1/K_n$ where $\hat{V}$ stands for the image of $V \cap S^{n-1}$ under the Gauss map.

If 1.1 holds then

$$|\int_S g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)| \le K_n^{n-1} \max |c_\alpha^n(x)| \le K_n^{2n-1}(\prod_{i=1}^n |c_{\alpha_i}^n|)^{1/n}$$

and thus

$$\int_{B_R} |\int_S g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)|^p \lesssim K_n^{(2n-1)p} \sum_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n} \int_{B_R} \prod_{i=1}^n |\int_{U_{\alpha_i}^n} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)|^{p/n}.$$

Assuming $p \ge 2n/n-1$ we can apply the multilinear estimate from [1], which yields

$$\lesssim C(K_n)R^\epsilon.$$

where $C(K_n)$ is some power of K_n. The exponent $2n/n-1$ is the one prescribed by the restriction conjecture, thus in this n-linear case we get best possible exponent.

Now assume 1.2 holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_S g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi) &\le \int_{\operatorname{dist}(\xi,\hat{V}_{n-1})\lesssim\frac{1}{K_n}} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)\\ &+ \frac{1}{K_n}\max_\alpha|\int_{U_\alpha^n} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)|\\ &= \mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II}\end{aligned}$$

Since $c_\alpha^n(x)$ is constant on balls of radius K_n, on such balls we can take the linear subspace V_{n-1} to be the same for all x. Hence contribution from II is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{K_n}(\sum_\alpha \| \int_{U_\alpha^n} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)\|_p^p)^{1/p} \lesssim K_n^{n(\frac{2}{p}-1)} Q_{R/K_n}^p < K_n^{-1}Q_R^p.$$

To evaluate I we proceed as before.

$$\mathrm{I} = \sum_\alpha \int_{U_\alpha^{n-1}\cap\{\xi:\mathrm{dist}(\xi,\hat{V}_{n-1})\}\lesssim\frac{1}{K_n}} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi) = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha^{n-1}(x).$$

We again have two cases:

2.1. There exist $\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1}$ such that $|\xi_1',\dots,\xi_{n-1}'| > c(K_{n-1})$ for $\xi_i \in U_{\alpha_i}^{n-1}$, and $|c_{\alpha_i}^{n-1}(x)| > K_{n-1}^{-(n-1)}\max_\alpha |c_\alpha^{n-1}(x)|$.

2.2. The negation of this, namely there exist a four dimensional subspace V_{n-2} such that $|c_\alpha^{n-1}(x)| \le K_{n-1}^{-(n-1)}\max_\alpha |c_\alpha^{n-1}(x)|$ if $\mathrm{dist}(U_\alpha^{n-1},\hat{V}_{n-2})$ $\gtrsim 1/K_{n-1}$.

First assume 2.1 holds. Then

$$\mathrm{I} < K_{n-1}^{2n-3}\big(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}|c_{\alpha_i}^{n-1}(x)|\big)^{1/n-1}.$$

thus

(6)

$$\oint_{B(a,K_n)} I^p \le K_{n-1}^{(2n-3)p}\sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{n-1}}\oint_{B(a,K_n)}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\Big|\int_{U_{\alpha_i}^{n-1}\cap\mathrm{dist}(\xi,\hat{V}_{n-1})\lesssim\frac{1}{K_n}} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)\Big|^{p/n-1}.$$

If $p \ge 2(n-1)/(n-2)$ then the multilinear theory of [1] applies to give

$$\lesssim R^\epsilon C(K_{n-1}).$$

as before. Thus assume $p < 2(n-1)/(n-2)$. We still want to exploit multilinearity, at least partially. Thus use Hölder to raise p to $2(n-1)/(n-2)$ in (6), and apply Lemma 2 to obtain the following for individual integrals on the right handside.

$$\ll K_n^\epsilon C(K_{n-1})\big(\oint_{B(a,K_n)}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\big(\sum_i\Big|\int_{U_\alpha^n} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)\Big|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}\big)^p$$

where $\sum_i$ is taken over all α such that $U_\alpha^n \subset U_{\alpha_i}^{n-1}$ and $U_\alpha^n \cap \hat{V}_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$\ll K_n^\epsilon C(K_{n-1})\big(\oint_{B(a,K_n)}\big(\sum_{U_\alpha^n\cap\hat{V}_{n-1}\neq\emptyset}\Big|\int_{U_\alpha^n} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)\Big|^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2(n-1)}}\big)^p.$$

Then by Hölder the integrand above satisfy

$$K_n^{(n-2)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\big(\sum_\alpha\Big|\int_{U_\alpha^n} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)\Big|^p\big)^{1/p}$$

where α is unrestricted in the summation. Substituting this and integrating over B_R yields

$$\lesssim C(K_{n-1})K_n^{(n-2)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})+\epsilon}\Big(\sum_{\alpha}\|\int_{U_\alpha^n} g(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}\sigma(d\xi)\|_{L^p(B_R)}^p\Big)^{1/p}.$$

Using rescaling one obtains

$$\lesssim C(K_{n-1})K_n^{(n-2)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})+\frac{n-1}{p}-(n-1)+\frac{n+1}{p}+\epsilon} = C(K_{n-1})K_n^{\frac{n+2}{p}-\frac{n}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

Suitably choosing K_n with respect to K_{n-1}, shows that $p > 2(n+2)/n$ suffices. Thus we obtain the condition $p > \min(2n/(n-1), 2(n+2)/n)$. Then we proceed similarly to handle 2.2. Continuing this process gives the condition

$$p > 2\min\Big(\frac{k}{k-1}, \frac{2n-k+1}{2n-k-1}\Big) \quad \text{for all} \quad 2 \le k \le n \tag{7}$$

which gives (3). Here p should be greater than minimum for all values of $2 \le k \le n$. Thus the condition is that p should be greater than the maximum of these minima. When $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$ this maximum is attained only at the value $k = 2n/3$ and it comes from the second term, thus has the value $\frac{8n+6}{4n-3}$. The value of the first term then is $\frac{4n}{2n-3}$ which is strictly greater than $\frac{8n+6}{4n-3}$. This is what allows us to improve the exponent when $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$. For the particular case of $n = 6$ we have

$$p > 2\min\Big(\frac{k}{k-1}, \frac{13-k}{11-k}\Big) \quad \text{for all} \quad 2 \le k \le 6. \tag{8}$$

For $k = 6, 5$ minimum comes from the first term, for other k from the second. The maximum of these minima comes from $k = 4$ and is $18/7$. For this $k = 4$ first term gives $8/3 > 18/7$ and in our refined analysis we shall exploit this.

3. Refined Analysis

From the analysis of the last section we can write

$$\begin{aligned}|Tf| \le C(K_6)\Big[&\max_{i_1,\dots,i_6}(|Tf_{i_1}|\dots|Tf_{i_6}|)^{1/6}\\ &+\sum_{m=2}^{5}\max_{V_{m-1}}\prod_{k=1}^{m}|\sum_{j\cap(i_k\cap\hat{V}_{m-1})\neq\emptyset} Tf_j|^{1/m} + \max_a |Tf_{B(a,1/K_2)}|\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Here by i_k we denote caps that are of radius $1/K_m$ in m-linear term, V_{m-1} denotes $m-1$ dimensional subspaces and $\hat{V}_{m-1}$ the image of $V_{m-1} \cap S^5$ under the Gauss map. The notation j denotes caps of radius

$1/K_{m+1}$ in m-linear term. Our idea is, as among these terms 4-linear gives the worst exponent, iterating this decomposition for smaller caps in that term we may obtain some improvement. To apply this idea we replace the 4-linear term as follows. Let L_{m-1} denote the caps j of size $1/K_{m+1}$ such that $j \cap \hat{V}_{m-1} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2 we have

$$\Big[\fint_{B(a,K_5)} \big(\prod_{k=1}^{4} |\sum_{j\in i_k} Tf_j|^{\frac{1}{4}}\big)^{\frac{8}{3}}\Big]^{\frac{3}{8}} \lesssim K_5^{\epsilon}\big(\sum_{j\subset L_3} |Tf_j|^2\big)^{1/2}$$

where L_3 is the Thus we may write

$$\prod_{k=1}^{4} |\sum_{j\in i_k} Tf_j|^{1/4} = \phi \cdot \big(\sum_{j\subset L_3} |Tf_j|^2\big)^{1/2}$$

where ϕ is constant on balls of radius 1 and satisfies

$$\big(\fint_{B(a,K_5)} |\phi|^{\frac{8}{3}}\big)^{\frac{3}{8}} \lesssim K_5^{\epsilon}.$$

We shall iterate our decomposition for these caps j. We now describe this in a more general fashion. Let τ be a cap of radius δ. By first scaling to the unit scale, then applying the decomposition, then scaling back we get the following:

$$|Tf_\tau| \leq C(K_6)\Big[\max_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_6}(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\dots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{1/6} + \phi_\tau \cdot \max_{V_3}\big(\sum_{\eta\subset L_3} |Tf_\eta|^2\big)^{1/2}$$
$$+ \sum_{m=2,3,5} \max_{V_{m-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} |\sum_{\eta\cap(\tau_k\cap\hat{V}_{m-1})\neq\emptyset} Tf_\eta|^{1/m} + \max_a |Tf_{B(a,\delta/K_2)}|\Big].$$

Here, similar to what we have above by τ_k we denote caps that are of radius $1/K_m$ in m-linear term, and the notation η denotes caps of radius $1/K_{m+1}$ in m-linear term. Furthermore we have ϕ_τ constant on boxes τ' that are dual to cap τ and for boxes $K_5\tau'$

$$\fint_B \phi_\tau^{8/3} \lesssim K_5^{\epsilon}.$$

These two are simple consequences of rescaling.

We apply this decomposition to 4-linear and 1-linear term in our main decomposition. Clearly as we iterate it we will need to multiply functions ϕ_τ arising in each step of iteration. To investigate what happens in this case let ϕ_τ and ϕ_η be such functions arising in consecutive steps. Thus ϕ_τ is constant on boxes τ' that are dual to the cap τ, and ϕ_η constant on boxes η' that are dual to the cap η. As η arise when we decompose τ, if we let τ be a δ cap, η is a δ/K_5. Now let B be a $K_5\eta'$ box. One can, of course, decompose this box into η' boxes B_α, thus

$$\int_B \phi_\tau^{8/3}\phi_\eta^{8/3} \lesssim \sum_\alpha \phi_\eta^{8/3}\Big|_{B_\alpha} \int_{B_\alpha} \phi_\tau^{8/3}.$$

Since an η' box has size $K_5\tau'$ with direction differing from that of a τ' box only by and angle of δ, we can indeed partition B_α into a constant number of τ' boxes, which allow us to write

$$\oint_{B_\alpha} \phi_\tau^{8/3} \lesssim K_5^\epsilon$$

and hence

$$\sum_\alpha \phi_\eta^{8/3}\Big|_{B_\alpha} \int_{B_\alpha} \phi_\tau^{8/3} \lesssim K_5^\epsilon \sum_\alpha \int_{B_\alpha} \phi_\tau^{8/3} \lesssim K_5^\epsilon \int_B \phi_\tau^{8/3} \lesssim K_5^{2\epsilon}|B|.$$

After this investigation we are ready to state the final situation after iterating the decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}|Tf| \leq R^\epsilon &\max_{R^{-1/2}<\delta<1} \max_{E_\delta}\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta} \big(\phi_\tau(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{1/6}\big)^2\Big]^{1/2} \\ &+ R^\epsilon \sum_{m=2,3,5} \max_{R^{-1/2}<\delta<1} \max_{E_\delta}\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta} \big(\phi_\tau(\max_{V_{m-1}} \prod_{j=1}^m |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|)^{1/k}\big)^2\Big]^{1/2} \\ &+ R^\epsilon \max_{E_{R^{-1/2}}} \Big[\sum_{\tau\in E}(\phi_\tau|Tf_\tau|)^2\Big]^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

where

1. E_δ is a collection of δ caps, with cardinality δ^{-3}.
2. In m-linear term $\tau_j \subset \tau$ are caps of size δ/K_m satisfying $\tau_j \cap \hat{V}_{m-1}$ and the linear independence condition.
3. In m-linear term $\eta \subset \tau_j$ are caps of size δ/K_{m+1} and $\eta \cap \hat{V}_{m-1} \neq \emptyset$.
4. $\oint_B \phi_\tau < R^\epsilon$ where B is a τ' box.

We shall estimate each of the following terms above. For each of m-linear terms where $m > 1$ we proceed as follows: we first estimate the term in the L^p space with the exponent given by (8) for $k = m$. Then we estimate at $L^{18/7}$ which is the maximum of exponents given by (8) exactly in the same fashion. Then we do a refined estimate using pigeonholing at the exponent 18/7 in two different ways to obtain a small gain. Interpolation with the estimate at the exponent given by (8) will determine the small amount of improvement to the exponent 18/7. For the 1-linear term process is similar but simpler. We start with estimating the 6-linear term.

3.1. **Estimates on 6-linear term.** We consider the term

$$\max_{E_\delta}\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}\big(\phi_\tau(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{1/6}\big)^2\Big]^{1/2}. \tag{9}$$

Assume $|f|<1$. Using rescaling one obtains

$$\int_{B_R}(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{2/5}\le\delta^5\int_{B_{\delta R}}(|Tg_{U_1}|\cdots|Tg_{U_6}|)^{2/5}$$

where $|g|<1$ and $U_1\cdots U_6$ caps of size $1/K_6$ satisfying the linear independence condition. Thus multilinear theory of [1] applies to yield

$$\lesssim\delta^5R^\epsilon.$$

With this at hand we proceed to estimate at the exponent $12/5$ given by (8). Using Hölder we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}\big(\phi_\tau(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{\frac16}\big)^2\Big]^{\frac12}&\le|E_\delta|^{\frac1{12}}\Big[\sum_\tau\big(\phi_\tau(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{\frac16}\big)^{\frac{12}{5}}\Big]^{\frac5{12}}\\&\le\delta^{-\frac14}\Big[\sum_\tau\big(\phi_\tau(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{\frac16}\big)^{\frac{12}{5}}\Big]^{\frac5{12}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now τ ranges over a full partition into δ- caps of S, and does not depend on particular choice of E_δ. Now let B stand for τ' boxes. Since $|Tf_{\tau_i}|$ are constant on τ_i' boxes, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int\big(\phi_\tau(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{\frac16}\big)^{\frac{12}{5}}&\lesssim\sum_B(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{\frac25}\Big|_B\Big(\int_B\phi_\tau^{12/5}\Big)\\&\lesssim\sum_B\Big(\int_B(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{\frac25}\Big)\Big(⨍_B\phi_\tau^{12/5}\Big)\\&\lesssim R^\epsilon\int_{B_R}(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{\frac25}\\&<R^\epsilon\delta^5.\end{aligned}$$

Using this we finally obtain

$$\|(9)\|_{L^{12/5}(B_R)}\lesssim R^\epsilon\delta^{-1/4}. \tag{10}$$

On the other hand applying the same process yields

$$\|(9)\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)}\lesssim R^\epsilon. \tag{11}$$

Now we begin finer estimates. Let $0<\lambda<1$ and define

$$g_{\tau,\lambda}=g_\tau 1_{\{g_\tau\sim\lambda\delta^5\}}\quad\text{where}\quad g_\tau=(|Tf_{\tau_1}|\cdots|Tf_{\tau_6}|)^{1/6}.$$

Then

$$\int_{B_R} g_{\tau,\lambda}^{18/7} < (\lambda\delta^5)^{18/7-12/5} \int_{B_R} g_{\tau,\lambda}^{12/5} \lesssim R^\epsilon \lambda^{6/35}\delta^{41/7}.$$

Using this

$$\Big[\int_{B_R} \max_{E_\delta}\big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta} (\phi_\tau g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\big)^{9/7}\Big]^{7/18} \lesssim R^\epsilon \lambda^{1/15}. \tag{12}$$

We do one more pigeonholing. Let $1 \le \mu < \infty$ and decompose

$$\phi_\tau = \sum_{\mu \text{ dyadic}} \phi_{\tau,\mu}$$

where

$$\phi_{\tau,\mu} = \phi_\tau 1_{\{\phi_\tau\sim\mu\}}, \qquad \phi_{\tau,1} = \phi_\tau 1_{\{\phi_\tau\le 1\}}.$$

Then we have

$$\oint_B \phi_{\tau,\mu}^{18/7} \le \mu^{-2/21} \oint_B \phi_{\tau,\mu}^{8/3} \lesssim R^\epsilon \mu^{-2/21}.$$

$$\Big[\int_{B_R} \max_{E_\delta}\big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta} (\phi_{\tau,\mu} g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\big)^{9/7}\Big]^{7/18} \lesssim R^\epsilon \lambda^{1/15}\mu^{-1/27}. \tag{13}$$

.

We now estimate the left hand side of the inequality above in a different way. Clearly we have

$$\max_{E_\delta}\big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta} (\phi_{\tau,\mu} g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\big)^{1/2} \le \mu\big(\sum_{\tau} g_{\tau,\lambda}^2\big)^{1/2}. \tag{14}$$

Now τ ranges over a full partition into δ caps of the surface S. We write

$$|Tf_{\tau_i}|^{1/6} \lesssim |Tf_{\tau_i}|^{1/6} * (\delta^7 1_{\tau'}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_\tau &\lesssim \int \big(\prod_{i=1}^{6} |Tf_{\tau_i}|^{1/6} * \delta^7\big)(z)(\delta^7 1_{\tau'})(x-z)dz \\ &\lesssim \int \omega(z)(\delta^7 1_{\tau'})(x-z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$g_{\tau,\lambda}^2 \lesssim \delta^7 \int (\omega^2 1_{\{\omega\gtrsim\lambda\delta^5\}})(z) 1_{\tau'}(x-z)dz.$$

We have

$$\int_{B_R} \omega^2 1_{\{\omega\gtrsim\lambda\delta^5\}} \lesssim \big(\frac{1}{\lambda\delta^5}\big)^{2/5} \int \omega^{12/5}$$

which is

$$\lesssim (\frac{1}{\lambda\delta^5})^{2/5}\int_{B_R}\Big(\int(\prod_{i=1}^{6}|Tf_{\tau_i}|(x-z_i))^{2/5}dx\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{6}(\delta^7 1_{\tau'})(z_i)\Big)dz_1\cdots dz_6$$
$$\lesssim R^{\epsilon}\lambda^{-2/5}\delta^3.$$

This puts us in a position to bring into play the Kakeya maximal function estimate of Wolff, for we have

$$g_{\tau,\lambda}^2 \lesssim R^{\epsilon}\delta^{10}\lambda^{-2/5}\int 1_{\tau'}(x-y)\mathbf{P}_{\tau}(dy)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_{\tau}(dy)$ is a probability distribution. From this and convexity we have

$$\|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} \le R^{\epsilon}\mu\delta^5\lambda^{-1/5}\|\Big(\sum_{\tau}1_{\tau'}(x-y_\tau)\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)}$$
$$= R^{\epsilon}\mu\delta^5\lambda^{-1/5}\|\Big(\sum_{\tau}1_{\tau'}(x-y_\tau)\Big)^{1/2}\|^{1/2}_{L^{9/7}(B_R)}$$

where y_τ is a choice of points in $\mathbf{R}^6$. Now we are ready to apply Wolff's Kakeya estimate. For δ separated δ tubes T this estimate gives

$$\|\sum_T \chi_T\|_{L^{4/3}} \lesssim \delta^{-1/2-}.$$

Interpolating this with the trivial estimate at L^1 yields

$$\|\sum_T \chi_T\|_{L^{9/7}} \lesssim \delta^{-4/9-}.$$

Using rescaling and this yields

$$\|\Big(\sum_{\tau}1_{\tau'}(x-y_\tau)\Big)\|^{1/2}_{L^{9/7}(B_R)} \lesssim R^{\epsilon}\delta^{-44/9}.$$

Thus finally

$$\|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} \lesssim R^{\epsilon}\mu\delta^{1/9}\lambda^{-1/5} \lesssim R^{\epsilon}\mu\delta^{1/9}\lambda^{-9/5}.$$

Now lets see our estimates together:

$$\|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau}g_{\tau})^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{12/5}(B_R)} \lesssim R^{\epsilon}\delta^{-1/4}.$$

$$\|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} \lesssim R^{\epsilon}\min(\mu\lambda^{-9/5}\delta^{1/9},\lambda^{1/15}\mu^{-1/27})$$
$$\lesssim R^{\epsilon}\delta^{\frac{1}{9\cdot 28}}.$$

Interpolating these gives the small improvement

$$\frac{2}{735}.$$

3.2. **Estimates on m-linear terms for $m = 2, 3, 5$.** These estimates are quite similar, so we will try to handle them in a generalized fashion. For $m = 3, 5$ exponents given by 8 are the same , and we will be able to estimate them together. For $m = 2$ only estimate at the exponent given by 8 needs to be done separately.

We start with estimating 3 and 5-linear terms at the exponent given by 8 which is 5/2. We consider the term

$$\max_{E_\delta}\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}\big(\phi_\tau(\max_{V_{m-1}}\prod_{j=1}^{m}|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j}Tf_\eta|)^{1/k}\big)^2\Big]^{1/2} \tag{15}$$

where m is 3 or 5. The maximum taken over all V_{m-1} will not make any difference to our estimates and will be ignored since our estimates will remain the same for all V_{m-1}. Thus lets fix V_{m-1}. Assume $|f| < 1$. Using rescaling one obtains

$$\int_{B_R}(\prod_{j=1}^{m}|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j}Tf_\eta|)^{5/2m} \le \delta^{11/2}\int_{B_{\delta R}}(\prod_{j=1}^{m}|\sum_{\kappa\subset U_j}Tg_\kappa|)^{5/2m}$$

where $|g| < 1$, $U_1 \cdots U_6$ caps of size $1/K_m$ satisfying the linear independence condition and $\kappa \subset U_j$ are caps of size δ/K_{m+1} and $\kappa \cap \hat{V}_{m-1} \neq \emptyset$. Thus m-linear estimates from section 2 gives

$$\lesssim \delta^{11/2}R^{\epsilon}.$$

With this we proceed as in 6-linear case. By Hölder

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}\big(\phi_\tau(\prod_{j=1}^{m}|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j}Tf_\eta|)^{\frac{1}{m}}\big)^2\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} &\le |E_\delta|^{\frac{1}{2m}}\Big[\sum_{\tau}\big(\phi_\tau(\prod_{j=1}^{m}|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j}Tf_\eta|)^{\frac{1}{m}}\big)^{\frac{5}{2}}\Big]^{\frac{2}{5}}\\ &\le \delta^{-\frac{3}{10}}\Big[\sum_{\tau}\big(\phi_\tau(\prod_{j=1}^{m}|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j}Tf_\eta|)^{\frac{1}{m}}\big)^{\frac{5}{2}}\Big]^{\frac{2}{5}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now τ ranges over a full partition into δ- caps of S, and does not depend on particular choice of E_δ. Now let B stand for τ' boxes. Since

$|Tf_{\tau_i}|$ are constant on τ_i' boxes, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \big(\phi_\tau(\prod_{j=1}^m |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|^{\frac{1}{m}}\big)^{\frac{5}{2}} &\lesssim \sum_B (\prod_{j=1}^m |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|)^{\frac{5}{2m}}\Big|_B \big(\int_B \phi_\tau^{5/2}\big) \\
&\lesssim \sum_B \big(\int_B (\prod_{j=1}^m |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|)^{\frac{5}{2m}}\big)\big(\oint_B \phi_\tau^{5/2}\big) \\
&\lesssim R^\epsilon \int_{B_R} (\prod_{j=1}^m |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|)^{\frac{5}{2m}} \\
&< R^\epsilon \delta^{11/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this we finally obtain

$$\|(15)\|_{L^{5/2}(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon \delta^{-1/10}. \tag{16}$$

On the other hand the same process yields

$$\|(15)\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon. \tag{17}$$

Now we do the same process for 2-linear term. This time exponent from 8 is 22/9 and the term considered is

$$\max_{E_\delta}\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta} \big(\phi_\tau(\max_{V_1} \prod_{j=1}^2 |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|)^{1/2}\big)^2\Big]^{1/2} \tag{18}$$

Using rescaling one obtains

$$\int_{B_R} (\prod_{j=1}^2 |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|)^{11/9} \lesssim \delta^{47/9} R^\epsilon.$$

Then the process above yields

$$\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta} \big(\phi_\tau(\prod_{j=1}^2 |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big)^2\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \delta^{-\frac{2}{11}} \Big[\sum_{\tau} \big(\phi_\tau(\prod_{j=1}^2 |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|)^{\frac{1}{2}}\big)^{\frac{22}{9}}\Big]^{\frac{9}{22}}.$$

and

$$\int \big(\phi_\tau(\prod_{j=1}^2 |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|^{\frac{1}{2}}\big)^{\frac{22}{9}} \lesssim R^\epsilon \delta^{47/9}.$$

Finally

$$\|(18)\|_{L^{22/9}(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon \delta^{-2/11}.$$

At 18/7 the same process yields

$$\|(18)\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon.$$

Now we proceed to finer estimates. First let $m=3$ or $m=5$ Now we begin finer estimates. Let $0<\lambda<1$ and let this time

$$g_{\tau,\lambda}=g_\tau 1_{\{g_\tau\sim\lambda\delta^5\}} \quad \text{where} \quad g_\tau=(\prod_{j=1}^m |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|)^{1/m}.$$

Then

$$\int_{B_R} g_{\tau,\lambda}^{18/7} < (\lambda\delta^5)^{18/7-5/2}\int_{B_R} g_{\tau,\lambda}^{5/2} \lesssim R^\epsilon \lambda^{1/14}\delta^{41/7}.$$

Using this

$$\Big[\int_{B_R} \max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_\tau g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{9/7}\Big]^{7/18} \lesssim R^\epsilon\lambda^{1/36}. \tag{19}$$

Decompose ϕ_τ exactly as before. Then we have

$$\Big[\int_{B_R} \max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu} g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{9/7}\Big]^{7/18} \lesssim R^\epsilon\lambda^{1/36}\mu^{-1/27}. \tag{20}$$

Now we estimate

$$\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu} g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2}$$

using Kakeya maximal function as in the 6-linear case. Clearly

$$\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu} g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2} \le \mu\Big(\sum_{\tau} g_{\tau,\lambda}^2\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{21}$$

Where τ ranges over a full partition into δ caps of the surface S. We write

$$|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|^{1/m} \lesssim |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|^{1/m} * (\delta^7 1_{\tau'}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_\tau &\lesssim \int \Big(\prod_{i=1}^m |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|^{\frac{1}{m}} * \delta^7\Big)(z)(\delta^7 1_{\tau'})(x-z)dz \\ &\lesssim \int \omega(z)(\delta^7 1_{\tau'})(x-z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$g_{\tau,\lambda}^2 \lesssim \delta^7 \int (\omega^2 1_{\{\omega\gtrsim\lambda\delta^5\}})(z)1_{\tau'}(x-z)dz.$$

We have

$$\int_{B_R}\omega^2 1_{\{\omega\gtrsim\lambda\delta^5\}} \lesssim (\frac{1}{\lambda\delta^5})^{1/2}\int \omega^{5/2}$$

which is

$$\lesssim \big(\frac{1}{\lambda\delta^5}\big)^{1/2}\int_{B_R}\Big(\int\big(\prod_{i=1}^{m}|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j}Tf_\eta|(x-z_i)\big)^{\frac{5}{2m}}dx\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{6}(\delta^7 1_{\tau'})(z_i)\Big)dz_1\cdots dz_m$$

$$\lesssim R^\epsilon\lambda^{-1/2}\delta^3.$$

Hence,

$$g_{\tau,\lambda}^2 \lesssim R^\epsilon\delta^{10}\lambda^{-1/2}\int 1_{\tau'}(x-y)\mathbf{P}_\tau(dy).$$

Thus proceeding exactly as in the 6-linear case we shall have

$$\|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon\mu\delta^{1/9}\lambda^{-1/4} \lesssim R^\epsilon\mu\delta^{1/9}\lambda^{-3/4}.$$

Summarizing our estimates

$$\|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_\tau g_\tau)^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{5/2}(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon\delta^{-1/10}.$$

$$\|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} \lesssim R^\epsilon\min(\mu\lambda^{-3/4}\delta^{1/9},\lambda^{1/36}\mu^{-1/27})$$

$$\lesssim R^\epsilon\delta^{\frac{1}{9\cdot 28}}.$$

Then interpolation yields that improvement is

$$\frac{5}{1764}.$$

Of course the whole process is very similar for the bilinear case. Again for $0<\lambda<1$ let

$$g_{\tau,\lambda} = g_\tau 1_{\{g_\tau\sim\lambda\delta^5\}} \quad \text{where} \quad g_\tau = \big(\prod_{j=1}^{2}|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j}Tf_\eta|\big)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$\int_{B_R} g_{\tau,\lambda}^{18/7} < (\lambda\delta^5)^{18/7-22/9}\int_{B_R} g_{\tau,\lambda}^{22/9} \lesssim R^\epsilon\lambda^{8/63}\delta^{41/7}.$$

Using this

$$\Big[\int_{B_R}\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_\tau g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{9/7}\Big]^{7/18} \lesssim R^\epsilon\lambda^{4/81}. \tag{22}$$

Decompose ϕ_τ exactly as before. Then we have

$$\Big[\int_{B_R}\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{9/7}\Big]^{7/18} \lesssim R^\epsilon\lambda^{4/81}\mu^{-1/27}. \tag{23}$$

Now we proceed to the other type of estimate. Clearly

$$\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2}\le \mu\Big(\sum_{\tau} g_{\tau,\lambda}^2\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{24}$$

Where τ ranges over a full partition into δ caps of the surface S. We write

$$|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|^{1/2}\lesssim |\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|^{1/2} * (\delta^7 1_{\tau'}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_\tau &\lesssim \int \big(\prod_{i=1}^{m}|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|^{\frac12} * \delta^7\big)(z)(\delta^7 1_{\tau'})(x-z)dz \\ &\lesssim \int \omega(z)(\delta^7 1_{\tau'})(x-z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$g_{\tau,\lambda}^2\lesssim \delta^7\int(\omega^2 1_{\{\omega\gtrsim\lambda\delta^5\}})(z)1_{\tau'}(x-z)dz.$$

We have

$$\int_{B_R}\omega^2 1_{\{\omega\gtrsim\lambda\delta^5\}}\lesssim(\frac{1}{\lambda\delta^5})^{4/9}\int\omega^{22/9}$$

which is

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim(\frac{1}{\lambda\delta^5})^{4/9}\int_{B_R}\Big(\int\big(\prod_{i=1}^{2}|\sum_{\eta\subset\tau_j} Tf_\eta|(x-z_i)\big)^{\frac{11}{9}}dx\Big)\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{2}(\delta^7 1_{\tau'})(z_i)\Big)dz_1dz_2 \\ &\lesssim R^\epsilon\lambda^{-4/9}\delta^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$g_{\tau,\lambda}^2\lesssim R^\epsilon\delta^{10}\lambda^{-4/9}\int 1_{\tau'}(x-y)\mathbf{P}_\tau(dy).$$

Thus proceeding exactly as before we shall have

$$\|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)}\lesssim R^\epsilon\mu\delta^{1/9}\lambda^{-2/9}\lesssim R^\epsilon\mu\delta^{1/9}\lambda^{-4/3}.$$

Summarizing our estimates

$$\|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_\tau g_\tau)^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{22/9}(B_R)}\lesssim R^\epsilon\delta^{-2/11}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\max_{E_\delta}\Big(\sum_{\tau\in E_\delta}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}g_{\tau,\lambda})^2\Big)^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} &\lesssim R^\epsilon\min(\mu\lambda^{-4/3}\delta^{1/9},\lambda^{4/81}\mu^{-1/27}) \\ &\lesssim R^\epsilon\delta^{\frac{1}{9\cdot 28}}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case improvement is

$$\frac{11}{3969}.$$

3.3. **Estimates on the linear term.** We first estimate in $L^{12/5}$. We have

$$\|\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E}(\phi_\tau|Tf_\tau|)^2\Big]^{1/2}\|_{L^{12/5}(B_R)} \le R^{1/8}\Big[\sum_\tau\int_{B_R}(\phi_\tau|Tf_\tau|)^{12/5}\Big]^{5/12}.$$

Here on the right hand side τ ranges over a partition into $R^{-1/2}$ of all of S. Also note that integral on the right hand side is actually over a τ' box due to concentration of Tf_τ. Combining this observation with the fact that $|Tf_t| \lesssim R^{-5/2}$ we obtain

$$\lesssim R^{\epsilon+1/8}.$$

We estimate in $L^{18/7}$ first without using Kakeya maximal function estimate . First decompose ϕ_τ into $\phi_{\tau,\mu}$ exactly as before. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}|Tf_\tau|)^2\Big]^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} &\le R^{1/6}\Big(\sum_\tau\|\phi_{\tau,\mu}Tf_\tau\|^{18/7}_{L^{18/7}(B_R)}\Big)^{7/18}\\ &\lesssim R^{1/6}\big(\mu^{-2/21}R^{\epsilon+\frac{7}{2}+\frac{5}{2}-\frac{5}{2}\cdot\frac{18}{7}}\big)^{7/18}\\ &\lesssim R^\epsilon\mu^{-1/27}\end{aligned}$$

Now we shall estimate using Kakeya maximal function bounds. Thus as before we write

$$|Tf_\tau|^2 \lesssim R^{-7/2}\int|Tf_\tau|^2(y)1_\tau(x-y)dy$$

and estimate

$$\int_{B_R}|Tf_\tau|^2 \lesssim R^{-3/2}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Big[\sum_{\tau\in E}(\phi_{\tau,\mu}|Tf_\tau|)^2\Big]^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)} &\le \mu\|\Big[\sum_\tau|Tf_\tau|)^2\Big]^{1/2}\|_{L^{18/7}(B_R)}\\ &\lesssim R^{-(\frac{7}{4}+\frac{3}{4})}\mu\|\sum_\tau 1_{\tau'}(\cdot-y_\tau)\|^{1/2}_{L^{9/7}(B_R)}\\ &\lesssim R^{-5/2+22/9+\epsilon}\mu.\\ &\lesssim R^{-1/18+\epsilon}\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Interpolation shows that the improvement for this term is as in the six-linear case

$$\frac{2}{735}.$$

We are thus ready to compare improvements, and see the final result. Improvement is

$$\min(\frac{2}{735}, \frac{5}{1764}, \frac{11}{3969}) = \frac{2}{735}$$

and so we have Theorem 1.

References

[1] J.Bennett, A. Carbery, T. Tao, On the multilinear restriction and Kakeya conjectures, Acta Math., 196, 261-302 (2006)

[2] J. Bourgain, Besicovitch type maximal operators and applications to Fourier Analysis, Geom. and Funct. Anal. 22, 147-187 (1991)

[3] J. Bourgain, L. Guth, Bounds on oscillatory integral operators based on multilinear estimates, to appear Geom. Funct. Anal.

[4] C. Fefferman, Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators, Acta Math. 124, 9-36, (1970)

[5] I. Laba, From harmonic analysis to arithmetic combinatorics, Bull. of Amer. Math. Soc., 45,77-115 (2007)

[6] E.M. Stein, Some problems in harmonic analysis, Harmonic analysis in Eucliden spaces(Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1978), Part 1, 3-20 (1978)

[7] T. Tao, A sharp bilinear restriction estimate for paraboloids, Geom. Funct. Anal., 13, 1359-1384 (2003)

[8] T. Tao, Recent progress on the restriction conjecture, IAS/ Park City Mathematics Series, (2003)

[9] T. Tao, A. Vargas, L. Vega, A bilinear approach to the restriction and Kakeya conjectures, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 11, 967-1000 (1998)

[10] T. Tao, A. Vargas, A bilinear approach to cone multipliers I. Restriction Estimates, Geom. Funct. Anal., 10, 185-215 (2000)

[11] T. Tao, A. Vargas, A bilinear approach to cone multipliers II. Applications, Geom. Funct. Anal., 10, 216-258 (2000)

[12] P.Tomas, A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 477-478 (1975)

[13] T. Wolff, An Improved bound for Kakeya type maximal functions, Revista Math. Iberoamericana 11, 651-674 (1995)

[14] T. Wolff, A sharp bilinear cone restriction estimate, Ann. of Math., 153, 661-698 (2001)

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Urbana, IL 61820
E-mail address: `temur1@illinois.edu`